IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR (CG)

WRIT PETITION NO. 1656 /2005

PETITIONER

P.R. No. 1593/05
Presented by Shri ...
dated 12.09.05

Santosh Kumar Vishwakarma, aged about 36 years, son of Shri Vishwambhar Lal Vishwakarma, occupation - Grade III employee, Municipal Council, Sakti, presently transferred and working at Nagar Panchayat / Nagar Palika, Kharsiya Distt. Raigarh (Chhattisgarh)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

RECEIVED-COPY
12.9.05
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

1. The State of Chhattisgarh, through the Secretary, Department of Local Self Government, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (Chhattisgarh)

2. The Director, Nagariya Niyojan Evam Vikas, Raipur (Chhattisgarh)

3. The Collector, Janjgir-Champa (Chhattisgarh)

4. The Deputy Director, Nagar ~~Palika~~ Prashashan, Bilapur (Chhattisgarh)

5. The President, Nagar Palika Parishad, Sakti, Distt. Janjgir-Champa (Chhattisgarh).

6. The Chief Municipal Officer, Nagar Palika Parishad, Sakti, Distt. Janjgir – Champa (Chhattisgarh)

7. Shri Ram Dulare Rathore, aged about 40 years, occupation Grade III Nagar Palika Parishad, Pendra, presently at Nagar Palika Parishad, Sakti, Distt. Janjgir-Champa (CG)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA,

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक ...WP(S)/1656/2005 सन् 200 32

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	29-4-2005 Shri A. N. Bhakta, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Akhil Agrawal, Panel Lawyer for the State/respondents No.1 to 4. Heard both the counsel. In this writ petition the petitioner's main grievance is that he is an employee of Nagar Panchayat/Nagar Palika, Sakti. At present, he is on transfer to Kharsiya Nagar Panchayat, whereas, respondent No.7 is A.G.-III employee of Nagar Palika, Pendra. Presently, he is working in Sakti Nagar Palika and, therefore, the petitioner being an employee of Nagar Panchayat Sakti, he ought to have been promoted as A.G.-II against the vacancy which is lying in Nagar Panchayat Sakti. Respodnent No.7 not being employee of Nagar Panchayat Sakti he is entitled for the promotion to that post. But, contrary to the policy decision of the Government and ignoring the claim of the petitioner respondent No.7 has been promoted on the post of A.G.-II. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already submitted representation to respondents No.1 and 3, but the same is not being decided, therefore, he submits that respondent No.1 be	

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक ...WP(S)/1656/2005 **सन्** **200**

..... **विरुद्ध** .....

33

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित -2-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

directed to decide the representation to which counsel for respondents No.1 to 4 has no objection.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties, I have perused the records. Looking to the limited request made by learned counsel for the petitoner, it is just and fair to direct respondent No.1 to decide the representation of the petitioner within a period of 2 months from today after considering all the points raised by the petitioner in an objective manner in accordance with law.

With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of.

Consequently, M.(W.)P. No.1290/2005 and I.A. No.3216/2005 also stand disposed of.

Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order.

Sd/-
L.C. Bhadoo
Judge

Barve

(पीछे देखिये)